IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL REVISION APPLICATION NO. 19 OF 2006

SHRI AMARNATH N.S. TALWADKAR, QUEPEM GOA. ....Petitioner

Versus

SHRI UDAY PUNDALIK NADKARNI AND ANR., ...Respondents

Shri M.S. Usgaonkar, Sr. Advocate with Ms. Priyanka Kamat, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Shri S.D. Padiyar, Advocate for Respondents.

Coram:- P.V. KAKADE, J.

Date:- 22nd September, 2006

P.C.:

Heard both sides. After hearing for sometime both the parties, the learned Counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the petition with liberty to file substantive Petition. For the same cause of action, the remedy is available for the petitioner to do so. Hence petition stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to avail appropriate remedy. With this direction petition stands dismissed.

In the meantime, interim order to continue for the period of 6 weeks from the date of this order.

P.V. KAKADE, J.

NH